

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/084,121	02/27/2002	Alin D'Silva	01-1008	5040	
32127	7590 06/06/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
	CORPORATE SERVICES	ELAHER	ELAHEE, MD S		
0.00	C/O CHRISTIAN R. ANDERSEN 600 HIDDEN RIDGE DRIVE			PAPER NUMBER	
MAILCODE HQEO3H14 IRVING, TX 75038			2645		
			DATE MAILED: 06/06/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/084,121	D'SILVA ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Md S. Elahee	2645				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication Reply	on appears on the cover sheet w	th the correspondence address				
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR I MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicate period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, be reply received by the Office later than three months after the departent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TON. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a lition. s, a reply within the statutory minimum of thir period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON y statute, cause the application to become Al	eply be timely filed by (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication SANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ion.			
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed or	03 December 2004.					
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b)	This action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠	Claim(s) 2-5,7-10 and 12-16 is/are pend 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are w Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 2-5, 7-10 and 12-16 is/are reject Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction	ithdrawn from consideration.	, · • •				
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)	The specification is objected to by the Ex	aminer.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for f All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority doc 2. Certified copies of the priority doc 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International See the attached detailed Office action for	uments have been received. uments have been received in A ne priority documents have beer Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No received in this National Stage				
Attachmei		o □	Summon (DTO 442)				
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9	, — <u> </u>	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date				
3) Info	rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO er No(s)/Mail Date		nformal Patent Application (PTO-152) 				

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. This action is responsive to an amendment filed 12/03/04. Claims 2-5, 7-10 and 12-16 are pending.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments mailed on 12/03/04 have been fully considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 2-5, 7-10 and 12-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Miner et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,652,789) in view of Aravamudan et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,301,609).

Regarding claims 4, 14 and 15, Miner teaches receiving, via a data network, reminder data (i.e., event data) associated with the user, the reminder data comprising information for connecting the call to the one or more parties and a time period for initiating the call (fig.29, 30; col.40, lines 15-43).

Miner further teaches storing the reminder data in a database (col.40, lines 6-15, 44-64).

Miner further teaches determining that a current time is within the time period for

connecting the call (col.40, line 63- col.41, line 1). (Note; when delivery time comes in, the Cron

agent sends the reminder parcel to the user and the reminder message is for calling up a contact,

therefore, it is clear that the Cron agent determines a current time which is within the time period

for connecting the call)

Miner further teaches notifying the user that the call is about to take place (fig.31; col.41,

lines 6-22).

Miner further teaches sending notification message to the user by sending an e-mail

message (col.8, lines 3-7). However, Miner does not specifically teach "notifying the user by

instant message sent via the data network". Aravamudan teaches notifying the user by instant

message sent via the data network (fig.8; col.7, lines 21-40, col.9, lines 10-24). Thus, it would

have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to

modify Miner to notify the user by instant message sent via the data network taught by

Aravamudan. The motivation for the modification is to have doing so in order to notify user

while user is on-line without having any inconvenience.

Miner further teaches receiving "call Them" command (i.e., confirmation) from the user

via the data network to initiate the call to the one or more parties on behalf of the user (fig.5, 6,

31; col.41, lines 56-63).

Miner further teaches establishing the call between the user and the one or more parties

via the telephone network based on the reminder data (fig.5, 31; col.11, lines 22-25, col.41, lines

56-63).

Regarding claims 2 and 12, Miner teaches updating the database to reflect changes in the reminder data (fig.32; col.42, lines 7-32).

Regarding claims 3, 8, 9 and 13, Miner teaches determining based on the reminder data a telephone number associated with the user and a telephone number associated with the one or more parties (fig.29, 30; col.40, lines 15-34, 55-64).

Miner further teaches connecting the call between the user and the one or more parties via the telephone network based on the telephone numbers (fig.31; col.41, lines 56-63).

Regarding claims 5 and 16, Miner teaches establishing at least one reminder data (i.e., event record) corresponding to the call, the reminder data comprising user information and at least one telephone identifier (fig.29, 30; col.40, lines 15-34, 55-64).

Miner further teaches associating the reminder data with an event time (col.40, lines 15-34).

Miner further teaches requesting authorization from the user to initiate the call, based on a current time and the event time (col.41, lines 53-63). (Note; since the user elects not to reschedule the reminder, the user authorizes the electronic assistant to place the call)

However, Miner does not specifically teach "requesting authorization from the user by instant message sent via the data network". Aravamudan teaches requesting authorization from the user by instant message sent via the data network (fig.8; col.7, lines 21-40, col.9, lines 10-24). Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Miner to request authorization from the user by instant message sent via the data network taught by Aravamudan. The motivation for the modification is to have doing so in order to dispose important event without having any inconvenience.

Miner further teaches initiating the call to the at least one party on behalf of the user, based on an affirmative response to the authorization request from the user, the affirmative response sent by the user over the data network (fig. 5, 6; col.41, lines 53-63).

Regarding claim 10 is rejected for the same reasons as discussed above with respect to claim 4. Furthermore, Miner teaches an electronic assistant (i.e., transmitter) connecting the user (i.e., caller) via the telephone network to the one or more parties based on the reminder data (fig. 5, 31; col. 11, lines 22-25, col. 41, lines 53-63).

Regarding claim 7, Miner teaches the electronic assistant system (i.e., calendar system) to updating the reminder data (fig.32; col.42, lines 7-32).

Conclusion

- 5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Elsey et al. (U.S. Pub. No. 2002/0055351) teach Technique for providing personalized information and communications services.
- 6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

Application/Control Number: 10/084,121

Art Unit: 2645

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this

final action.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Md S. Elahee whose telephone number is (571) 272-7536. The

examiner can normally be reached on Mon to Fri from 8:30am to 5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Fan Tsang can be reached on (571) 272-7547. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

M.E.

MD SHAFIUL ALAM ELAHEE

May 23, 2005

FAN TSANG

PATENT EXAMINER

Page 6

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600